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Brief Background

1. Public Finance Management (PFM) problems uncovered by annual Public Expenditure Reviews (PERs) in the early 90s

2. PFM problems:
   a. Incremental budget system
   b. Lack of budget ownership
   c. Lack of proper accounting, auditing, and reporting
   d. Ineffective public expenditure monitoring and control
   e. The budget lacked medium term outlook
   f. Lack of broad based budgeting
Brief Background

2. PFM Problems (cont.):
   g. No linkage between recurrent and capital budget
   h. Lack of strategic planning
   i. Lack of accurate and timely financial information for decision making
   j. Weak compliance with financial regulations
   k. Personnel and payroll problems (phantom employees)
   l. Incomplete aid and debt management information
   m. Low level of human resource capacity

3. Earlier efforts to address the above problems were conducted in an isolated, piecemeal manner. These efforts were found to be ad hoc, disjointed, incoherent, and incomprehensive

4. Earlier initiatives:
   1. Public Investment Program (PIP) to deal with issues affecting capital budget
   2. Civil Service Reform Program
   3. Integrated Personnel and Payroll Project (IPPD) to deal with staff cost
Brief Background

3. Earlier initiatives (cont.):
   4. Budget Improvement Working Group (BIWIG) to help implement the broad based budget concept, and
   5. Expenditure Tracking System (EXTRACON) to monitor expenditures in the Ministries, Departments, and Agencies (MDAs)

4. These efforts did not transform the government’s financial management system and did not help to implement an integrated system

Brief Background

5. Instead the piecemeal approach led to each ministry adopting measures that sought its own solution to its problems leading to a proliferation of accounting systems introduced and funded by international donor agencies

6. Thus there was the need to develop an all-embracing programme, which would link all aspects of public financial management together
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Description of the Program

1. PUFMARp was thus conceived from this background by the GoG as more a comprehensive and integrated approach to resolving the above named problems.

2. PUFMARp was launched in July, 1995 at Akosombo by the then Minister of Finance with the full backing of the president of Ghana.

3. It was officially initiated in 1996 with the setting up of a secretariat and a Project Management Team (PMT). The program officially ended in 2002
Description of the Program

4. The Government’s strategy was to institute a comprehensive and integrated PFM program that will unify all aspects of public finance to overcome the problems of earlier attempts.

5. PUFMARP was funded by GoG and multilateral donors led by the World Bank. The other donors were:
   1. Overseas Development Administration (ODA, now DFID)
   2. The Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA)
   3. The European Union (EU)

Description of the Program

6. The ultimate objective of PUFMARP was to enhance the efficiency, transparency and accountability of financial management functions of government.

7. Programme components:
   a. Budget preparation (MTEF and BPEMS)
   b. Budget implementation (BPEMS)
   c. Financial accounting and reporting (BPEMS)
   d. Cash Management (BPEMS)
   e. Revenue Management
Description of the Program

8. Programme components (cont.):
   f. Aid and Debt Management
   g. Comprehensive Auditing
   h. Procurement Reforms
   i. Fiscal Decentralisation
   j. Legal and Regulatory Framework
   k. Integrated Personnel and Payroll Database

9. BPEMS, the core component of PUFMARP, is aimed at reforming the budgeting (preparation and implementation), accounting and financial reporting system, and to provide for a computerised platform to run the entire financial management system.

10. Five BPEMS modules have been designed to provide the above functions. The modules are:
    1. Accounts Receivable (AR);
    2. Accounts Payable (AP);
    3. General Ledger (GL);
    4. Purchasing Ordering (PO); and
    5. Public Sector Budgeting (PSB)

11. MTEF which preceded the implementation of all the other components was designed with the overall objective to improve the planning and budgeting of public expenditures and thus contribute to strengthened fiscal policy formulation and implementation.
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Ghana’s Experience-Gradualism and comprehensiveness

1. The implementation of MTEF was initially planned for 3 pilot ministries (MoH, MoE, and MoRT) but was later extended to cover all 21 MDAs

2. However the MTEF process was implemented gradually and later deepened to introduce more complicated concepts (e.g. national goals, sectoral goals, outcomes)
Ghana’s Experience - Ownership

1. PUFMARP was managed and coordinated by the PMT which consisted of well qualified Ghanaian professionals in relevant areas of public finance management recruited through international search.

2. The PMT were under the direct supervision of the PUFMARP Steering Committee which met monthly to provide the policy guidance and review the progress in design and implementation of the various components of PUFMARP.

3. To show the political will, ownership, and commitment of GoG, the PUFMARP steering committee was headed by our deputy Minister of Finance and was composed of chief directors who are the civilian heads of all the key ministries and other government agencies.

4. GoG counterparts (including myself) were seconded from MoF to take active part in the design and implementation of the project to enable appropriate technology transfer from the PMT and project consultants.
Ghana’s Experience-Ownership

5. A Central Implementation Team (CIT) drawn from relevant ministries was constituted to assist in the implementation of the various components

6. Budget committees were instituted in all MDAs as change drivers of the new budgeting system and with well defined responsibilities

7. Well motivated trainers were drawn from within the ministries and other government agencies. Similarly incentives were provided for government officials who participated in workshops

Ghana’s Experience-Ownership

8. In terms of MTEF, training went down to the district level though the degree of permeation was rather limited

9. The preparation of the budget has been decentralised to line ministries. For example, 80% of the 2006-2008 budget was captured from the other line ministries with only 20% captured centrally at MoFEP

10. To prepare the minds of government officials and ensure general acceptability for the new coming change, change management workshops were held at various levels.
11. Donor coordination among the sponsors was led by the World Bank.

12. The donors had less of an active role in the day to day design of the process and left the Government to define the details of the approach.

13. Donors were active participants of the steering committee meetings resulting in better flow of information and perhaps reduction in transaction cost (associated with stand alone PFM projects).

14. However there was some level of fragmentation in donor support with donors working in isolation in different areas.

15. Donor support was characterised by large and complex IT projects managed by project units, donor financed consultants, and seconded civil servants.
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Achievements so far- MTEF

1. Since 1999 the MTEF has been fully operational in all MDAs. Even though it was initially planned for 3 pilot ministries, the process was later expanded to cover all 21 MDAs in the year due to the enthusiasm shown by all MDAs and also to prevent running a parallel budget

2. MTEF has been implemented in 25 District Assemblies to date

3. Deepening of the MTEF process is on-going and training has been institutionalised in GIMPA and School of Administration in University of Ghana
Achievements so far- MTEF

4. Technical guide and a user-manual prepared and later revised and consolidated into MTEF handbook

5. MTEF has also contributed to facilitate the integration of aid into the budget and to improve dialogue between GoG and donors

Achievements so far- BPEMS

6. Budget formulation:
   a. The PSB module under the Oracle Financial Management System is currently completed
   b. The 2006 – 2008 budget is being captured as a parallel system to the Activate software currently used to prepare the MTEF budget. The aim is to adopt the PSB Module to prepare the Budget from 2007 onwards
Achievements so far- BPEMS

7. Budget execution, cash management and reporting:

   a. BPEMS has been re-engineered with a broad-based Chart of Accounts in use by all MDAs countrywide.

   b. In terms of on-line operations, set-ups for the entire 8 pilot MDAs with 14 sites and the office of the president are completed centrally even though the speed of the network is currently very slow.

Achievements so far- BPEMS

8. Budget execution, cash management and reporting (cont.):

   c. Among the 8 pilot MDAs, MoFEP and MoH are currently running the system for their operations and the others will follow suit as soon as the network problems are addressed.

   d. Interim measures implemented to address the delay in the online operations include:

      i. Commitment Control Systems
      ii. Cash Management System
      iii. Reporting using Budget Management System (BMS), Accounting System (ACCPAC) and National Expenditure Tracking System (NETS)
Achievements so far—Aid and Debt Mangt.


10. The Treasury functions of Aid and Debt Management are being strengthened.

11. A standardised format for Reporting on Development Partners in-flows for project, programme and budget support has received broader cooperation and yielding a more comprehensive reporting.
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Challenges – gradualism and comprehensiveness

1. No proper sequencing of events. MTEF moved way ahead of the other components of PUFMAR. Till date BPEMS is not fully operational. BPEMS delay was a potential threat to the success of MTEF.

2. The IT component of BPEMS was too complicated and was beyond the capacity of civil servants.

3. The implementation of MTEF at the district level is limited and the objective of achieving composite budgeting at the district level is yet to be met. Till date only 25 districts have prepared their budget in the current MTEF format.
Challenges – ownership

4. Lack of top level commitment from some key stakeholders (e.g. CAGD) leading to consultants managing the program

5. The possibility of reform fatigue among those relatively few civil servants who have been involved in many different reforms, sometimes leads to faltering attendance at meetings and workshops

6. Low participation in training programmes due to non-payment of attendance allowances

Challenges – ownership

7. Limited capacity to implement reforms. Consultants often lead the implementation process so that the salary gap between these consultants and the less motivated civil servant works against project ownership and sustainability
Challenges – donor coordination

8. Since funding was provided separately by each donor, effective donor coordination and harmonisation was difficult to achieve

9. Donors were interested in achieving their project objectives only and in some occasions rushed to spend to prevent money returning to their government chest

1. EU: inconsistencies between the new financial regulation passed and BPEMS
2. CIDA: Fiscal decentralisation was designed in isolation

10. GoG had no leverage on the use donor funds as disbursement of funds was subject to the disbursement rules of each donor

OUTLINE OF PRESENTATION

1. Brief Background of the Reform Process
2. Description of the Reform Process
3. Ghana’s Experience
4. Achievements
5. Challenges
6. Lessons
Lessons – gradualism and comprehensiveness

1. It is useful to adopt the gradualist approach and ensure that reform extensively builds on existing systems and abstain from over ambitious projects (e.g. BPEMS). The lesson here is to embark on parsimonious PFM reform capable of satisfactorily addressing the PFM needs of the implementing country.

2. Budget preparation process needs to be firmly linked to budget implementation, monitoring, reporting and evaluation to ensure that there is synergy in the implementation of all components.

Lessons – ownership

3. Strong leadership is essential and political will should be amply demonstrated at all levels and at every stage. The high initial level of commitment of GoG at the highest level in part explains why the MTEF was very successful in its first year of implementation.

4. MoF, its Ministers, the Chief Director and Director of Budget need to be at the forefront of the reform. The commitment and day to day involvement and leadership of the senior management of the Ministry of Finance is probably the greatest contributing factor in the success of the MTEF process in Ghana.
Lessons – ownership

5. The involvement of key stakeholders in the design process ensured that the MTEF process met the needs of the Ghanaian situation and built on previous work. The wheel was not re-invented.

6. Using in house trainers is useful in promoting general acceptance and ownership of reform. General acceptability can also be enhanced by creating the awareness and desire for change through change management workshops and increased participation of civil society.

Lessons – ownership

7. A healthy relationship between the executing agency and the other governmental agencies is crucial in enhancing the success of a PFM reform.

8. Effective team building and working relationships between Government and consultants is a necessary ingredient, as demonstrated by the team spirit and oneness of purpose of the CIT and consultants.
Lessons – ownership

9. Being flexible and responding to people’s reactions during implementation pays. The MoF responded to the enthusiasm shown by MDAs by extending activity costing to all MDAs even though it was initially planned for only 3 pilot MDAs. This prevented running parallel budgets.

10. The experience and lessons of previous reforms need to be built upon by involving those who were involved in earlier reforms.

Lessons – ownership

11. There is the need to establish a competent, effective, and creative PMT which will use participatory mechanisms to ensure that country ownership of the program spreads to all stakeholders.

12. There should be proper links among project components to ensure effective achievement of both sub project and overall program objectives.

13. It is necessary to provide an incentive program to motivate and retain competent qualified staff to manage the system.
Lessons - donor coordination

14. In such non-basket multi-donor programs, the country must build capacity to coordinate donor activities if it really wants to achieve program objectives.

15. To ensure country ownership, donor policies and programs should be aligned with existing country strategies and frameworks (e.g. PRSPs, PRGF).

16. Choosing a strategic donor to lead the donors may be an effective way of resolving differences among donors on one hand and between donors and the partner country on the other.

Lessons - donor coordination

17. Donors must be willing to take active part in donor-donor and donor-partner dialogue and to implement decisions on coordination taken jointly.

18. Donors should be willing to subordinate their individual interests, concepts, and visibility to a joint approach to development.
Lessons - donor coordination

19. Donors should assist and strengthen partner country reporting and monitoring systems, coordinate and simplify donor systems, and provide common financial reports.

20. Donors should move towards increased program or budget support to ensure reduction in transaction costs, promote country ownership of policies and programmes, ensure pro-poor spending, and make aid much more predictable.
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